ind



# UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENT	TOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
0'	9/622,249	08/15/00	SMITH	-	P CÜ-2	2328 TFP <b>M</b>
	<del></del>			$\neg$	EX	AMINER
•			PM82/0914	•		
THOMAS F PETERSON					DORSEY D	
	ADAS & PARRY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	24 SOUTH MICH HICAGO IL 606		-		3635  DATE MAILED:  09/	<u>5</u> /14/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
i		09/622,249	SMITH, PETER ALAN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
<b>*</b> .		Dennis L Dorsey	3635					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15.A	<u> August 2000</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 August 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🗌 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) <u></u> A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/622,249

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification (37 CFR 1.78).

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 6-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 5. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 6-7 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. Claims 8-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 7. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8-10 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claims 11-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 10 See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 11-20 not been further treated on the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,249 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi.

Kobayashi teaches all the limitations of the above claims including a seat portion (B), backrest (A), at least one air-containing cushion (a') on the seat, at least one air-containing cushion on the backrest (a), a layer of compressible material (4) overlaying the cushions (a, a'), upholstery material covering (3) covering the compressible material, and a valve (1, 1') through which air is admitted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi.

Art Unit: 3635

Kobayashi teaches all the limitations of the above claims except specifically filling the bladders not greater than 50%, the range 15% to 30%, or the range 15% to 60%. Kobayashi teaches a pump (column 2, lines 19-23) activated by an operative device to fill the bladders with air to the desired fill of the operator. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was to fill the chamber within any range desired from 0% to 100% since it taught that one can operate the pump, thus filling the bladders is a matter of design choice of the operator.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis L Dorsey whose telephone number is 703-306-9137. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-3053597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1020.

DLเป*รุก).* September 7, 2001 Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600